July 12, 1966 RESOLUTION NO. 1 Janesh 1th

To protest the action of the American Fishing Tackle Manufacturers Association asking for repeal of the Dingell Johnson Law,

WHEREAS, the American Fishing Tackle Manufacturers Association has recently passed a resolution asking for repeal of the Dingell Johnson Law and the excise tax on sport fishing tackle and equipment, and

WHEREAS, the revenue derived from this tax on the sport fishing tackle has enabled the state fish and game departments of the several states to carry on fisheries research, develop fishing waters and improve fish habitet extensively, and in general, substantially promote fisheries resource throughout the country, and

WHEREAS, anglers generally are glad to pay this tax which returns to their benefit by increasing fishing water and improving fish habitat and information through investigation to provide for scientific management of the fisheries resources of the nation,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Western Division of the American American Fisheries Society opposes the resolution of the American Fishing Tackle Manufacturers Association for repeal of the Dingell Johnson Law and vigorously protests the repeal of the excise tax of sport fishing tackle and equipment, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be directed to the American Fishing Tackle Manufacturers Association and to Congressional delegates of the western states.

HR 168

_gourion no. 2

auly 12, 1866

To support S. 3107, A BILL to provide for a comprehensive review of national water resource problems and programs, and for other purposes and to support two companion bills, H.R. 15110 and H.R. 14151.

C. Thomas da

WHEREAS, all regions of the contiguous United States are confronted with present or potential interstate problems involving water resources vital to each and all of the states, and

WHEREAS, there are a number of states including Alaska, that are confronted with present or potential water problems of international magnitude, and

WHEREAS, there is need to provide for a comprehensive review of national water resource problems and programs, and for other purposes, and

WHEREAS, S. 3107 and companion bills H.R. 15110 and H.R. 14151 would provide for the creation of a National Water Commission to review the problems and programs mentioned above,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Western Division of the American Fisheries Society go on record as supporting S. 3107, as amended, and support H.R. 15110 and H.R. 14151, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be directed to the President of the United States, the Governors and Congressional delegates of all member states.

Submitted by Washington Department of Game.

RESOLUTION NO. 3

To request Congress to amend the Federal Water Project Recreation Act, Public Iou 89-72 to eliminate the undue burden placed on the states by cost-sharing requirements for separable costs as they relate to fish and wildlife enhancement.

WHEREAS, the Federal Water Project Recreation Act, Public Law 89-72 contains different cost-sharing requirements, for separable costs than for joint costs allocated, and

WHEREAS, separable costs are considered as those incurred for a single purpose, such as additional height to a dam or a conservation pool to provide fishery benefits, and

WHEREAS, under Public Lew 89-72 nonfederal interests are required to pay one-half the separable construction costs if fish and wildlife are to be enhanced, and must pay for operation, maintenance, and replacement of facilities associated with recreational use, and

WHEREAS, separable costs are those costs of a multiple-purpose project which would not be incurred if a particular purpose were omitted such as costs of additional height on a dam to provide a conservation pool for fish, and

WHEREAS, under Public Law 89-72 nonfederal interests must agree to pay one-half of the separable costs if fish and wildlife are to be enhanced and must pay for the operation, maintenance and replacement of facilities associated with this enhancement, and

WHEREAS, many projects which are scheduled for construction will place

heavy additional financial burdens upon state fish and game agencies by added operation and maintenance costs required for fish and wildlife habitat developments, and

WHEREAS, budgetary limitations of these agencies would preclude participation in cost-sharing of 50 percent of the separable costs and full payment of operation, maintenance and replacement of enhancement facilities, and

WHEREAS, if the state fish and game agencies do not agree to participate in cost-sharing of separable costs, the fish and wildlife enhancement portion of the project will be deleted, often preventing future development of this resource as additional monies may become available to the agencies,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Western Division of the American Fisheries Society go on record as asking Congress to amend Public Law 89-72 so as to delete the cost-sharing requirements for separable costs, as they relate to fish and wildlife, and

HE IT FURTHER RESOLMED, that copies of this resolution be directed to the President of the United States, the Secretary of the Interior, Governors and Congressional delegates of all member states.

RESOLUTION NO. 4



To oppose the charging of fees for the use of boat launching ramps on national forests.

WHEREAS, boat launching ramps are essential on many waters on national forest lands for anglers to take advantage of fishing opportunity, and

WHEREAS, the fishery in such waters is the responsibility of, and is managed by, the states, and

WHEREAS, the national forests are considering charging the public for the use of boat launching ramps on national forest, and

WHEREAS, this would constitute a Federal charge for fishing on statemanaged fisheres,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Western Division of the American Fisheries Society in convention assembled in Butte, Montana, on July 12, 1966 oppose the charging of fees by the United States Forest Service for the use of boat launching ramps, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be directed to the Secretary of Agriculture and Congressional delegates of the western states.

Submitted by the Oregon Game Commission

RESOLUTION NO. 5

Sath wash.

WHEREAS, Public Law 85-624, the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, states in Section 2..."whenever the waters of any stream or other body of water are proposed or authorized to be impounded, diverted, the channel deepened, or the stream or other body of water otherwise controlled or modified for any purpose whatever,* including navigation and drainage, by any department or agency of the United States, or by any public or private agency under Federal permit or license, such department or agency shall first consult with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service,

Department of the Interior, and with the head of the agency exercising administration over the wildlife resources of the particular state wherein the impoundment, diversion, or other control facility is to be constructed, with a view to the conservation of wildlife resources by preventing loss of and damage to such resources as well as providing for the development and impoundment thereof in connection with such water resource development, and impoundment thereof in connection with such water resource development.

WHEREAS, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District, has denied the applicability of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act to their Emergency Flood Control Projects in correspondence to the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Albuquerque, New Mexico, and the Utah State Department of Fish and Game, and

WHEREAS, the clear intent of Congress, as set forth in Public Law 85-624 is that any Federal agency which controls or modifies a stream or body of vater for any purpose shall first consult with the appropriate state and Federal conservation agency prior to instituting any work, and

^{*} underscoring added

WHEREAS, it is recognized that some bona fide emergency flood control projects for immediate protection of human life and property do not logically permit time for consultation and submission of project plans to the resource agencies,

NOW, THEREFORE, HE IT RESOLVED that the Western Division of the American Fisheries Society does hereby urge that the United States Army Corps of Engineers, in order to carry out the intent of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and in the interest of fish and wildlife conservation, notify the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and the head of the agency exercising administration over the wildlife resources of the particular state, whenever it intends to carry out emergency flood control works and to arrange for consultation and provision of adequate project plans, so that appropriate provision can be made to protect and conserve the fish and wildlife resources affected, except that emergency flood control works of immediate urgency would require only a notification by the United States Army Corps of Engineers, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be directed to
the United States Army Corps of Engineers, the Secretary of Agriculture,
and to Congressional delegates of the western states.

Submitted by the Utah State Department of Fish and Game